

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/611,731	07/01/2003	Thomas Fey	FA1097USNA	7376		
23906	7590 02/15/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
E I DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY LEGAL PATENT RECORDS CENTER			CAMERON, ERMA C			
	LL PLAZA 25/1128		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
4417 LANCASTER PIKE			1762			
WILMINGTO	N, DE 19805		DATE MAILED: 02/15/2009	5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			-VW
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/611,731	FEY ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Erma Cameron	1762	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timy within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
·— · ·	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 15-40 is/are withdraw</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) 1-40 are subject to restriction and/or expending the pending in the application.</li> </ul>	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• , ,	, ,	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
		(4) (5)	
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment/c)		·	
Attachment(s)  1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate	
information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 1762

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

1. Applicant's arguments filed 12/17/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

### Election/Restrictions

- 2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
- A) the series of process steps as in claim 1;
- B) the series of process steps as in claim 15;
- C) the series of process steps as in claim 28.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

\$

Art Unit: 1762

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 3. During a telephone conversation with Hilmar Fricke on January 12/2005 a provisional election was made WITH traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-14.

  Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 15-40 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

Art Unit: 1762

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. The rejection of Claims 1-14 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, is withdrawn because of the 12/17/2004 amendment.

### **Double Patenting**

7. The terminal disclaimer has been received and approved.

#### **Priority**

8. The revised application data sheet has been received and entered into the application.

Application/Control Number: 10/611,731 Page 5

Art Unit: 1762

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goodman et al (5891292) taken in view of Negele (6221439).

'292 teaches a thermosetting polymer network coating on an inherently removable backing in a partially cured state, to be applied on a surface and cured in place using radiation and post heat treatment. It appears that some of the polymer networks used free radicals to cure (6:40) and polyadditions to polymerize. Pressure would be inherent in placing the coated backing into place (2:63-3:42; "coating method" of col. 6-9).

'292 fails to teach supplying of thermal energy to cure.

'439 teaches heat or IR as a cure method for a coated film applied to a three-dimensional substrate (3:15-6:67).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have used the heat cure of '439 in the '292 process, because of the teaching of '439 that heat curing is suitable for a coated film.

Neither '292 or '439 use precoating, a transparent sealing coat or a textured backing, but these are merely well-known variations in a coating process.

Art Unit: 1762

Applicant has argued that '292 uses UV or ebeam as well as thermal curing in a dual curing process. However, the use of thermal curing by '292 meets the requirements of claim 1. The applicant has also argued that '439 uses an adhesive, but the use of an adhesive is not prohibited by the claims of the instant application.

Page 6

#### Conclusion

11. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Erma Cameron whose telephone number is 571-272-1416. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6:00, alternate Fridays off.

Art Unit: 1762

Page 7

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive Beck can be reached on 571-272-1415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ERMA CAMERON PRIMARY EXAMINER Erma Cameron Primary Examiner Art Unit 1762

February 13, 2005